

PLANNING DIVISION CITY OF SUNNYVALE P.O. BOX 3707 SUNNYVALE, CALIFORNIA 94088-3707

File Number: 2005-0027

No. 06-05

E-13002

NOTICE OF INTENT TO ADOPT NEGATIVE DECLARATION

This form is provided as a notification of an intent to adopt a Negative Declaration which has been prepared in compliance with the provisions of the California Environmental Quality Act of 1970, as amended, and Resolution #193-86.

PROJECT TITLE:

Application for Places of Assembly Study Issue by The City of Sunnyvale.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION AND LOCATION (APN):

City of Sunnyvale Study Issue: Places of Assembly and Recreation in Commercial and Industrial Zoning Districts. The study will consider the appropriateness of these uses within Commercial and Industrial Zoning Districts. Amendments of Title 19 (Zoning) may be adopted as part of the study.

WHERE TO VIEW THIS DOCUMENT:

The **Negative Declaration**, its supporting documentation and details relating to the project are on file and available for review and comment in the Office of the Secretary of the Planning Commission, City Hall, 456 West Olive Avenue, Sunnyvale.

This **Negative Declaration** may be protested in writing by any person prior to 5:00 p.m. on **Tuesday**, **March 7,2006**. Protest shall be filed in the Department of Community Development, 456 W. Olive Avenue, Sunnyvale and shall include a written statement specifying anticipated environmental effects which may be significant. A protest of a **Negative Declaration** will be considered by the adopting authority, whose action on the protest may be appealed.

HEARING INFORMATION:

A public hearing on the project is scheduled for:

Tuesday, March 7, 2006 at 8:00 p.m. in the Council Chambers, City Hall, 456 West Olive Avenue, Sunnyvale.

TOXIC SITE INFORMATION:

(No) listed toxic sites are present at the project location.

Circulated On February 1, 2006

Signed:

Andrew Miner, Principal Planner

ATTACHMENT C
Page of 9

PLANNING DIVISION CITY OF SUNNYVALE P.O. BOX 3707 SUNNYVALE, CALIFORNIA 94088-3707 File Number: 2005-0027 No. 06-05



E-13002

NEGATIVE DECLARATION

This **Negative Declaration** has been prepared in compliance with the provisions of the California Environmental Quality Act of 1970, as amended, and Resolution #193-86.

PROJECT TITLE:

Application for Places of Assembly Study Issue by The City of Sunnyvale.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION AND LOCATION (APN):

City of Sunnyvale Study Issue: Places of Assembly and Recreation in Commercial and Industrial Zoning Districts. The study will consider the appropriateness of these uses within Commercial and Industrial Zoning Districts. Amendments of Title 19 (Zoning) may be adopted as part of the study.

FINDINGS:

e Director of Community Development of the City of Sunnyvale, California, hereby determines that an universal impact report is not required. There are sufficient environmental controls incorporated into the zoning regulations to ensure no significant detrimental effect.

The above determination is based upon the initial study conducted in this matter; information provided by the applicant in an "Application for Environmental Finding" that the above determination is based on the fact that the use is in keeping with not in conflict with the adopted General Plan, The Zoning Ordinance and the Subdivision Ordinance. Sufficient environmental controls are incorporated in the Zoning and Subdivision regulations as to ensure no significant detrimental effect.

This **Negative Declaration** may be protested in writing by any person prior to 5:00 p.m. on Such protest shall be filled in the Department of Community Development, 456 W. Olive Avenue, Sunnyvale and shall include a written statement specifying anticipated environmental effects which may be significant. A protest of a Negative Declaration will be considered by the adopting authority, whose action on the protest may be appealed.

Circulated On February 1, 2006	Signed: / M
	Andrew Miner, Principal Planner
Adopted On	Verified:
•	Andrew Miner, Principal Planner



File Number: 2005-0027

No. 06-05

California Department of Fish and Game CERTIFICATE OF FEE EXEMPTION

De Minimis Impact Finding

E - 13002

PROJECT TITLE/LOCATION (INCLUDE COUNTY):

The Study Issue is located in The City of Sunnyvale, County of Santa Clara.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION:

City of Sunnyvale Study Issue: Places of Assembly and Recreation in Commercial and Industrial Zoning Districts. The study will consider the appropriateness of these uses within Commercial and Industrial Zoning Districts. Amendments of Title 19 (Zoning) may be adopted as part of the study.

FINDINGS OF EXEMPTION:

1. This project is in an urban setting.

There is no alteration of land or effect on fish or wildlife.

CERTIFICATION:

I hereby certify that the public agency has made the above finding and that the project will not individually or cumulatively have an adverse effect on wildlife resources, as defined in Section 711.2 of the Fish and Game Code.

Andrew Miner

Title: Principal Planner, Community Development

Lead Agency: City of Sunnyvale

Date: __January 27, 2006

DFG: 3/94 Planner



E-13002

ATTACHMENT C
Page 4 of 19

Project Number: 2005-0027 Project Address: Citywide Applicant: City Of Sunnyvale

Project Title Places of Assembly & Industrial & Commerce	& Recreational Uses in cial Zoning Districts
Lead Agency Name and Address City of Sunnyvale	
PO Box 3707 Sunny	vale, CA 94088-3707
Contact Person Ryan M. Kuchenig	A CONTROL OF THE STATE OF THE S
Phone Number _408-730-7431	
Project Location Citywide	
Project Sponsor's Name City of Sunnyvale	
Address 456 W. Olive Ave	
Sunnyvale, CA 94088	3
Zoning Citywide	
General Plan Citywide	
Other Public Agencies whose approval None	
is required	

Description of the Project: 2005-0027 – The proposed project is for the City to complete a study which may result in an ordinance amendment to the City of Sunnyvale Municipal Code, Title 19. The study issue will review whether the current Zoning Ordinance provides sufficient Zoning tools and review processes for these Places of Assembly and Recreational uses in Commercial and Industrial Zoning Districts. The project does not propose any physical changes or construction to the environment.

Surrounding Uses and Setting:

The study identifies these uses in Commercial and Industrial Zoning Districts; however, these uses are located throughout all zoning districts in Sunnyvale.

E-13002

ATTACHMENT C
Page S of 19

Project Number: 2005-0027 Project Address: Citywide Applicant: City Of Sunnyvale

EVALUATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS

- 1. A brief explanation is required for all answers except "No Impact" answers that are adequately supported by the information sources a lead agency cites in the parentheses following each question. A "No Impact" answer is adequately supported if the referenced information sources show that the impact simply does not apply to projects like the one involved (e.g. the project falls outside a fault rupture zone). A "No Impact" answer should be explained where it is based on project-specific factors as well as general standards (e.g. the project will not expose sensitive receptors to pollutants, based on a project-specific screening analysis).
- 2. A brief explanation is required for all answers except "No Impact" answers that are adequately supported by the information sources a lead agency cites in the parentheses following each question. A "No Impact" answer is adequately supported if the referenced information sources show that the impact simply does not apply to projects like the one involved (e.g. the project falls outside a fault rupture zone). A "No Impact" answer should be explained where it is based on project-specific factors as well as general standards (e.g. the project will not expose sensitive receptors to pollutants, based on a project-specific screening analysis).
- 3. All answers must take account of the whole action involved, including off-site as well as onsite, cumulative as well as project-level, indirect as well as direct, and construction as well as operational impacts.
- 4. Once the lead agency has determined that a particular physical impact may occur, then the checklist answers must indicate whether the impact is potentially significant, less than significant with mitigation, or less than significant. "Potentially Significant Impact" is appropriate if there is substantial evidence that an effect may be significant. If there are one or more "Potentially Significant Impact" entries when the determination is made, an EIR is required.
- 5. "Negative Declaration: Potentially Significant Unless Mitigation Incorporated" applies where the incorporation of mitigation measures has reduced an effect from "Potentially Significant Impact" to a "Less Significant Impact." The lead agency must describe the mitigation measures, and briefly explain how they reduce the effect to a less than significant level (mitigation measures from Section 17, "Earlier Analysis," may be cross-referenced).
- 6. Earlier analysis may be used where, pursuant to the tiering, program EIR, or other CEQA process, an effect has been adequately analyzed in an earlier EIR or negative declaration. Section 15063 (c) (3) (d). In this case, a brief discussion should identify the following:
- 7. Earlier Analysis Used. Identify and state where they are available for review.
- 8. Impacts Adequately Addressed. Identify which effects from the above checklist were within the scope of and adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and state whether such effects were addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis.
- 9. Mitigation Measures. For effects that are "Less than Significant with Mitigation Measures Incorporated," describe the mitigation measures which were incorporated or refined from the earlier document and the extent to which they address site-specific conditions for the project
- 10. Lead agencies are encouraged to incorporate into the checklist references to information sources for potential impacts (e.g. general plans, zoning ordinances). Reference to a

E-13002

Page of 19
Project Number: 2005-0027
Project Address: Citywide
Applicant: City Of Sunnyvale

previously prepared or outside document should, where appropriate, include a reference to the page or pages where the statement is substantiated.

Agricultural Resources	☐ Aesthetics		Hazards & Hazardous Materials		Public Services	
Air Quality	☐ Agricultural Resources		Hydrology/Water		Recreation	
Cultural Resources	☐ Air Quality		· ·		Transportation/1	raffic
Cultural Resources Noise Mandatory Findings Significance DETERMINATION: (To be completed by the Lead Agency) On the basis of this initial evaluation: I find that the proposed project COULD NOT have a significant effect on the environment, and a NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared. I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, there will not be a significant effect in this case because revisions in the project have been made by or agreed to by the project proponent. A MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared. I find that the proposed project MAY have a significant effect on the environment, and an ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required. I find that the proposed project MAY have a "potential significant impact" or "potentially significant unless mitigated" impact on the environment, but at least one effect (1) has been adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and (2) has been addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis as described on attached sheets. An ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required, but it must analyze only the effects that remain to be addressed. I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, because all potentially significant effects (a) have been analyzed in an earlier EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION pursuant to applicable standards and (b) have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to that earlier EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION, including revisions or mitigation measures that are imposed upon the	☐ Biological Resources		Mineral Resources			
DETERMINATION: (To be completed by the Lead Agency) On the basis of this initial evaluation: I find that the proposed project COULD NOT have a significant effect on the environment, and a NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared. I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, there will not be a significant effect in this case because revisions in the project have been made by or agreed to by the project proponent. A MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared. I find that the proposed project MAY have a significant effect on the environment, and an ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required. I find that the proposed project MAY have a "potential significant impact" or "potentially significant unless mitigated" impact on the environment, but at least one effect (1) has been adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and (2) has been addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis as described on attached sheets. An ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required, but it must analyze only the effects that remain to be addressed. I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, because all potentially significant effects (a) have been analyzed in an earlier EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION pursuant to applicable standards and (b) have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to that earlier EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION, including revisions or mitigation measures that are imposed upon the	☐ Cultural Resources		Noise		Mandatory Findi	ngs of
In the basis of this initial evaluation: I find that the proposed project COULD NOT have a significant effect on the environment, and a NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared. I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, there will not be a significant effect in this case because revisions in the project have been made by or agreed to by the project proponent. A MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared. I find that the proposed project MAY have a significant effect on the environment, and an ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required. I find that the proposed project MAY have a "potential significant impact" or "potentially significant unless mitigated" impact on the environment, but at least one effect (1) has been adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and (2) has been addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis as described on attached sheets. An ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required, but it must analyze only the effects that remain to be addressed. I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, because all potentially significant effects (a) have been analyzed in an earlier EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION pursuant to that earlier EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION, including revisions or mitigation measures that are imposed upon the	☐ Geology/Soils		Population/Housing		Significance	
I find that the proposed project MAY have a "potential significant impact" or "potentially significant unless mitigated" impact on the environment, but at least one effect (1) has been adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and (2) has been addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis as described on attached sheets. An ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required, but it must analyze only the effects that remain to be addressed. I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, because all potentially significant effects (a) have been analyzed in an earlier EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION pursuant to applicable standards and (b) have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to that earlier EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION, including revisions or mitigation measures that are imposed upon the	I find that the proposed project COUL DECLARATION will be prepared. I find that although the proposed project significant effect in this case because	D NOT hect could	nave a significant effect on the en have a significant effect on the e	nvironme e bv or ac	ent, there will not be	
is required, but it must analyze only the effects that remain to be addressed. I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, because all potentially significant effects (a) have been analyzed in an earlier EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION pursuant to applicable standards and (b) have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to that earlier EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION, including revisions or mitigation measures that are imposed upon the	I find that the proposed project COUL DECLARATION will be prepared. I find that although the proposed project significant effect in this case becaus project proponent. A MITIGATED NE	D NOT heet could se revision GATIVE	nave a significant effect on the en have a significant effect on the e ons in the project have been mad DECLARATION will be prepared	nvironme e by or ag I.	ent, there will not be greed to by the	
	I find that the proposed project COUL DECLARATION will be prepared. I find that although the proposed project a significant effect in this case becaus project proponent. A MITIGATED NE I find that the proposed project MAY In ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPOR I find that the proposed project MAY In mitigated" impact on the environment, document pursuant to applicable legal	D NOT heect could se revision GATIVE mave a sign ave a "p tout at least standar	have a significant effect on the en have a significant effect on the ends in the project have been made DECLARATION will be prepared gnificant effect on the environment ired. Solution of the environment of the environment ired. Solution of the environment ired of the environment ired of the environment ired.	nvironme by or ag l. nt, and ar tentially s uately ar by mitiga	ent, there will not be greed to by the significant unless halyzed in an earlier tion measures	

E-13002

ATTACHMENT C
Page 7 of 7 9
Project Number: 2005-0027
Project Address: Citywide
Applicant: City Of Sunnyvale

Ryan M. Kuchenig City of Sunnyvale Printed Name For (Lead Agency) Less Than Significant Less than Sig. With Mitigation Impac AESTHETICS. Would the project: Have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista? 2, 17 Substantially damage scenic resources, including, but not limited to trees, rock outcroppings, and historic buildings within 17 a state scenic highway? Substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of the site and its 17 surroundings? Create a new source of substantial light or glare which would adversely affect day or 17 nighttime views in the area? AIR QUALITY: Where available, the significance criteria established by the applicable air quality management or air pollution control district may be relied upon to make the following determinations. Would the project: Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan? Violate any air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existing or X 3 projected air quality violation. Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air 3 quality standard (including releasing emissions which exceed quantitative thresholds for ozone precursors)? Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations? 3 Create objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people? 3 **BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES:** Have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on X any species identified as a candidate, 111 sensitive, or special status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations.

E-13002

			Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Sig. With Mitigation	Less Than Significant	No Impact	Source
	or by the California Departme Game or U.S. Fish and Wildli		Marini maani oo maana wa maana wa	Cool of the Colonian Conference Constitution and	ozanovosti keta seadokolanovikove ketalekozovistok	THE THE THE RESERVE THE THE THE THE THE THE THE THE THE TH	WASSELDE THE EAST THOUGH THE
	b. Have a substantially adverse riparian habitat or other sensit community identified in local or plans, policies, regulations, or California Department of Fish U.S Wildlife Service?	ive natural or regional by the					111.
	c. Have a substantial adverse ef federally protected wetlands a Section 404 of the Clean Wate (including, but not limited to, n pool, coastal, etc.) through dir filling, hydrological interruption means?	es defined by er Act narsh, vernal ect removal,					111
	 d. Interfere substantially with the any resident or migratory fish species or with established na migratory-wildlife corridors, or 	or wildlife itive resident impede the				\boxtimes	111
	e. Conflict with any local policies ordinances protecting biologic such as a tree preservation poordinance?	or al resources,					41
	f. Conflict with the provisions of Habitat Conservation Plan, Na Conservation Community Plar approved local, regional, or staconservation plan?	itural n, other					17
4.	CULTURAL RESOURCES. Would	d the project:					
	 Cause a substantial adverse of a historical resolution defined in Section 15064.5? 					\boxtimes	111
	 b. Cause a substantial adverse of significance of an archaeologic pursuant to Section 15064.5 					\boxtimes	111
	c. Directly or indirectly destroy a paleontological resource or sit geologic feature?						111
	 d. Disturb any human remains, ir those interred outside of format cemeteries? 					\boxtimes	111
5.	LAND USE AND PLANNING. Wo project:	ould the					

E-13002

		Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Sig. With Mitigation	Less Than Significant	No Impact	Source	
	Physically divide an established community?					111	
	b. Conflict with an applicable land use plan, policy or regulation of an agency with jurisdiction over the project (including, but not limited to the general plan, specific plan, local coastal program, or zoning ordinance) adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect?					. 111	
	c. Conflict with any applicable habitat conservation plan or natural communities conservation plan?					17	-
6.	MINERAL RESOURCES. Would the project:						
	Result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region and the residents of the state?					19	
	 Result in the loss of availability of a locally- important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan or other land use plan? 				\boxtimes	19	
7.	NOISE. Would the project result in:						
	Exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies?					19	
	 Exposure of persons to or generation of excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels? 					19	
	c. A substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?				\boxtimes	16	
	d. A substantially temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?				\boxtimes	16	~
8.	POPULATION AND HOUSING. Would the project:						
	a. Induce substantial population growth in an area, either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (for example, through extension of roads or other infrastructure)?					111	

E-13002.

		Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Sig. With Mitigation	Less Than Significant	No Impact	Source	
	b. Displace substantial numbers of existing housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?						Charles for the first security of
•	c. Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?				X	111	
•	9. PUBLIC SERVICES. Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered government facilities, need for new or physically altered government facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services:						
	a. Schools?					111, See discussion	·
	b. Police-protection?					111	
	c. Fire protection?					111	
	d. Parks?					111	
	e. Other services?					111	
	10. MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE						
	a. Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal, or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?					111	
	b. Does the project have impacts that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? ("Cumulatively considerable" means that the incremental effects of a project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of the past projects, the effects of other current projects, and the effects of probable future					See discussion, 111	

E-13002

ATTACHMENT C
Page // of 19

	projects)?	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Sig. With Mitigation	Less Than Significant	No Impact	Source	
	. —						
	c. Does the project have environmental effects which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?					111	
	11. GEOLOGY AND SOILS. Would the project:						
	Expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury or death involving:	. 🔲			\boxtimes	UBC, UPC, UMC, NEC	
	 Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault? Refer to Division of Mines and Geology Special Publication 42. 					UBC, UPC, UMC, NEC	
	ii) Strong seismic ground shaking?					UBC, UPC, UMC, NEC	
	iii) Seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction?					UBC, UPC, UMC, NEC	
	iv) Landslides?					UBC, UPC, UMC, NEC	
	b. Result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil?					UBC, UPC, UMC, NEC	
آمر	c. Be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or that would become unstable as a result of the project, and potentially result in on- or off-site landslide, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction or					UBC, UPC, UMC, NEC	

.

Project Number: 2005-0027 Project Address: Citywide Applicant: City Of Sunnyvale

ATTACHMENT

E = 13002

		Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Sig. With Mitigation	Less Than Significant	No Impact	Source	
	collapse?						
d.	Be located on expansive soil, as defined in Table 18-a-B of the Uniform Building Code (1994), creating substantial risks to life or property?					UBC, UPC, UMC, NEC	
e.	Have soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of septic tanks or alternative waste water disposal systems where sewers are not available for the disposal of waste water?				\boxtimes	UBC, UPC, UMC, NEC	
	TLITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS. Would project:						
a.	Exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the applicable Regional Water Quality Control Board?					20, 111	
b.	Require or result in construction of new water or wastewater treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?					20, 111	
C.	Require or result in the construction of new storm water drainage facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?					24, 111	
d.	Have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project from existing entitlements and resources, or are new or expanded entitlements needed?					25, 111	
e.	Result in a determination by the wastewater treatment provider which services or may serve the project determined that it has adequate capacity to serve the project's projected demand in addition to the provider's existing commitments?					20, 111	
f.	Be served by a landfill with sufficient permitted capacity to accommodate the project's solid waste disposal needs?					22	
g.	Comply with federal, state, and local statues and regulations related to solid					22	

E-13002

ATTACHMENT C
Page 13 of 19

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Sig. With Mitigation	Less Than Significant	No Impact	Source	
waste?				1 1		
 13. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC. Would the						
project:						
a. Cause an increase in the traffic which is substantial in relation to the existing traffic load and capacity of the street system (i.e., result in a substantial increase in either the number of vehicle trips, the volume to capacity ratio on roads, or congestion at intersections)?				\boxtimes	111, see discussion	•
b. Exceed, either individually or cumulatively, a level of service standard established by the county congestion management agency for designated roads or highways?				\boxtimes	111	
c. Result in a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic levels or a change in location that results in substantial safety risks?			· 🔲		111	
d. Substantially increase hazards to a design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g. farm equipment)?					111	
e. Result in inadequate emergency access?					111	
f. Result in inadequate parking capacity?			-	\boxtimes	111	
g. Conflict with adopted policies or programs supporting alternative transportation (e.g., bus turnouts, bicycle racks)?				\boxtimes	12	
14. HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS. Would the project?						
a. Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use or disposal of hazardous materials?					UFC, UBC, SVMC	
b. Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the likely release of hazardous materials into the environment?					UFC, UBC, SVMC	
c. Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an exiting or proposed school?				\boxtimes	UFC, UBC, SVMC	

E-13002

		Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Sig. With Mitigation	Less Than Significant	No Impact	Source	
	d. Be located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 and, as a result would it create a significant hazard to the public or the environment?					UFC, UBC, SVMC	
	e. For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?					UFC, UBC, SVMC	-
	f. For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?					UFC, UBC, SVMC	
	 g. Impair implementation of, or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan? 				\boxtimes	UFC, UBC, SVMC	
	h. Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wildland fires, including where wildlands are adjacent to urbanized areas or where residences are intermixed with wildlands					UFC, UBC, SVMC	
Ī	15. RECREATION						
-	Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood or regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated?					See Discussion 13	
	b. Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment?	ş				13	
	16. AGRICULTURE RESOURCES: In determining whether impacts to agricultural resources are significant environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to the California Agricultural Land Evaluation and Site Assessment Model (1997) prepared by the California Department of Conservation as an optional model to use in assessing impacts on agriculture and farmland. Would the project:						

E-13002

ATTACHMENT C
Page S of 19

		Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Sig. With Mitigation	Less Than Significant	No Impact	Source	
а.	Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland or Farmland of Statewide Importance (Farmland), as shown on the maps						
	prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency to non-					94	
h	agricultural use?						
b.	Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use, or a Williamson Act contract?					94	
C.	Involve other changes in the existing environment which, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of Farmland, to non-agricultural use					94	-
	DROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY. Would project:	A111-111-1111-1111-1111-1111-1111-1111					
a.	Violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements?					24, 87	
b.	Substantially degrade groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level (e.g., the production rate of pre-existing nearby wells would drop to a level which would not support existing land uses or planned uses for which permits have been granted)?					25	
. C.	Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, in a manner which would result in substantial erosion or siltation on or off-site?					24	
d.	Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, or substantially increase the rate or surface runoff in a manner which would result in flooding on- or off site?					24	
e.	Create or contribute runoff which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff?				¥ .	24	

E-13002

Project Number: 2005-0027 Project Address: Citywide Applicant: City Of Sunnyvale

			Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Sig. With Mitigation	Less Than Significant	No Impact	Source
		Otherwise substantially degrade water quality?					24
	. g.	Place housing within a 100-year floodplain, as mapped on a federal Flood Hazard Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map or other flood hazard delineation map?					111
۰	h.	Place within a 100-year flood hazard area structures which would impede or redirect flood flows?					56
	i.	Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of the failure of a levee or dam?				\boxtimes	56
	j)	Inundation by seiche, tsunami, or mudflow?					24

Discussion:

Environmental Checklist Form

- 9 a. Public Services The result of the study may provide new requirements or restrictions of uses that serve the public in certain areas of the city. New facilities utilized for schools, quasi public, and other recreational uses may be required to locate in nonindustrial or areas considered less sensitive of the city. Additionally, new ordinances may impose new application requirements for these uses in certain areas of the city.
- 10 b. Mandatory Findings of Significance The result of the study will include modifications to the current Zoning Ordinance that may limit new Places of Assembly and Recreational Uses in certain locations within the City. Places of Assembly include meeting places for cultural, religious and social organizations. The project itself will not cause new construction for these uses
- 13 a. Transportation and Traffic The result of this study may be to encourage or limit certain uses within specific areas of the city. Existing ordinances and site specific issues related parking and traffic flow within neighborhoods will still be evaluated on a case by case basis.
- 15 a. Recreation New ordinances modifications may result in different permitting procedures for recreational uses within the city. As a result, these uses may be limited near uses deemed as incompatible. The study may also give improved guidance as to more appropriate locations for these uses. Recreational uses in areas considered

ATTACHMENT C
Page 17 of 19

Environmental Checklist Form

E-13002

Project Number: 2005-0027 Project Address: Citywide Applicant: City Of Sunnyvale

appropriate may experience an increased demand due to less opportunities in more sensitive areas.

Ryan M. Kuchenig

01/24/2006

Completed By

Date

ATTACHMENT C Page 18 of 19

Environmental Checklist Form

E-13009

	Cify	y of Sunnyvale General Plan:			
	2.	Map			Center Environmental Impact Report (City of
					Santa Clara)
	3.	Air Quality Sub-Element		52.	Downtown Development Program Environmental
	4	Community Design Sub-Element			Impact Report
	5.			53.	Caribbean-Moffett Park Environmental Impact
	6.	Cultural Arts Sub-Element			Report
	7.	Executive Summary		54.	Southern Pacific Corridor Plan Environmental
	8.	Fire Services Sub-Element			Impact Report
	9.	Fiscal Sub-Element			
	10.			Мар	s
		Housing & Community Revitalization Sub-		55.	City of Sunnyvale Aerial Maps
		Element		56.	Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FEMA)
	12.	Land Use & Transportation Sub-Element	_	57.	Santa Clara County Assessors Parcel
	13.	Law Enforcement Sub-Element		58.	Utility Maps (50 scale)
	14.			00.	Othing Maps (50 Scale)
	15.	Library Sub-Element	•	Liete	s/Inventories
		Noise Sub-Element			
	17	Open Space Sub-Element.		59.	Sunnyvale Cultural Resources Inventory List
	18	Recreation Sub-Element		60.	Heritage Landmark Designation List
		Safety & Seismic Safety Sub-Element		61.	Santa Clara County Heritage Resource Inventory
	20	Sanitary Sewer System Sub-Element		62.	Hazardous Waste & Substances Sites List (State
					of California)
		Socio-Economic Sub-Element	1.	63.	List of Known Contaminants in Sunnyvale
	22.	Solid Waste Management Sub-Element			
	23.	Support Services Sub-Element		Legis	slation/Acts/Bills/Codes
		Surface Run-off Sub-Element		64.	Subdivision Map Act
	25.	Water Resources Sub-Element		65.	Uniform Fire Code, including amendments per
-{	20	A 11			SMC adoption
14	26.	City of Sunnyvale Municipal Code:		66.	National Fire Code (National Fire Protection
	27.	Chapter 10			Association)
	28.	Zoning Map		67.	Title 19 California Administrative Code
	29.	Chapter 19.42. Operating Standards		68.	California Assembly Bill 2185/2187 (Waters Bill)
	30.	Chapter 19.28. Downtown Specific Plan District		69.	California Assembly Bill 3777 (La Follette Bill)
	31.	Chapter 19.18. Residential Zoning Districts		70.	Superfund Amendments & Reauthorization Act
	32.	Chapter 19.20. Commercial Zoning Districts			(SARA) Title III
	33.	Chapter 19.22. Industrial Zoning Districts			(5. 0.0.1) 1100 111
	34.	Chapter 19.24. Office Zoning Districts		Trans	sportation
	35.	Chapter 19.26. Combining Zoning Districts		71.	California Department of Transportation Highway
	36.	Chapter 19.28. Downtown Specific Plan		•	Design Manual
	37.	Chapter 19.46. Off-Street Parking & Loading		72.	
	38.	Chapter 19.56. Solar Access		12.	California Department of Transportation Traffic Manual
	39.	Chapter 19.66. Affordable Housing		70 /	
	40.	Chapter 19.72. Conversion of Mobile Home		13.	California Department of Transportation Standard
		Parks to Other Uses		74	Plan
	41.	Chapter 19.94. Tree Preservation		74.	California Department of Transportation
	42.	Chapter 19.96. Heritage Preservation			Standard Specification
		cific Plans		75.	Institute of Transportation Engineers - Trip
	43.				Generation
		El Camino Real Precise Plan		76.	Institute of Transportation Engineers
	44.	Lockheed Site Master Use Permit			Transportation and Traffic Engineering
	45.	Moffett Field Comprehensive Use Plan			Handbook
	46.	101 & Lawrence Site Specific Plan		77.	U.S. Dept. of Transportation Federal Highway
	47.	Southern Pacific Corridor Plan			Admin. Manual on Uniform Traffic Control
		_			Devices for Street and Highways
		ronmental Impact Reports		78.	California Vehicle Code
	48.	Futures Study Environmental Impact Report		79.	Traffic Engineering Theory & Practice by L. J.
	49.	Lockheed Site Master Use Permit Environmental			Pegnataro
		Impact Report		80.	Santa Clara County Congestion Management
1	50.	Tasman Corridor LRT Environmental Impact			Program and Technical Guidelines
******	. =1 	Study (supplemental)		81.	Santa Clara County Transportation Agency Short
	51.	Kaiser Permanente Medical Center Replacement		٠	Range Transit Plan
					nango nanaki lan



E-13002

82. 83.	Santa Clara County Transportation Plan Traffic Volume Studies, City of Sunnyvale Public	99.	Association of Bay Area Governments (ABAG)	
	works Department of Traffic Engineering Division	100.	Population Projections	
 84.			many and a second control of the con	
	Plan		City-wide Design Guidelines	
85	Bicycle Plan	102.	Industrial Design Guidelines	
	- Bloyold 1 lan	Build	ling Safety	
Public Works				
86.	Standard Specifications and Details of the	103.	Uniform Building Code, Volume 1, (Including the	
00.	Department of Public Works	404	California Building Code, Volume 1)	
 87.	Storm Drain Master Plan	1.04,	Uniform Building Code, Volume 2, (Including the	
88.	Sanitary Sewer Master Plan	405	California Building Code, Volume 2)	-
 89.	Water Master Plan	105	the state of the s	
			Plumbing Code)	
90.	Solid Waste Management Plan of Santa Clara	106.	Uniform Mechanical Code, (Including the	
04	County		California Mechanical Code)	
91.	Geotechnical Investigation Reports	_. * 107.	National Electrical Code (Including California	
92.	Engineering Division Project Files	•	Electrical Code)	
93.	Subdivision and Parcel Map Files	108.	Title 16 of the Sunnyvale Municipal Code	
Misce	ellaneous	. ∆ ماما	ional Deference	
94.	Field Inspection		ional References	
95.	Environmental Information Form	109.0	JSFWS/CA Dept. F&G Special Status Lists	
96.	Annual Summary of Containment Excesses	110. 5	Project Traffic Impact Analysis	ν.
50.			Project Description	
97.	(BAAQMD)		Project Development Plans	
	Current Air Quality Data	113. 8	Santa Clara County Airport Land Use Pian	
98.	Chemical Emergency Preparedness Program (EPA) Interim Document in 1985?)	114. F	ederal Aviation Administration	